STATE OF NEW JERSEY BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

In the Matters of

TEAMSTERS LOCAL NO. 469,

Respondent,

-and-

Docket No. CI-96-19

KENNETH JAMES WILLIAMS,

Charging Party.

OLD BRIDGE TOWNSHIP,

Respondent,

-and-

Docket No. CI-96-20

KENNETH JAMES WILLIAMS,

Charging Party.

OLD BRIDGE TOWNSHIP,

Respondent,

-and-

Docket No. CI-96-21

KENNETH JAMES WILLIAMS,

Charging Party.

SYNOPSIS

The Public Employment Relations Commission denies a motion for reconsideration of its decision to sustain the Director of Unfair Practices' refusal to reopen unfair practice charges filed by Kenneth James Williams against the Township of Old Bridge and Teamsters Local No. 469. The Commission finds no extraordinary circumstances warranting reconsideration.

This synopsis is not part of the Commission decision. It has been prepared for the convenience of the reader. It has been neither reviewed nor approved by the Commission.

P.E.R.C. NO. 97-92

STATE OF NEW JERSEY BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

In the Matters of

TEAMSTERS LOCAL NO. 469,

Respondent,

-and-

Docket No. CI-96-19

KENNETH JAMES WILLIAMS,

Charging Party.

OLD BRIDGE TOWNSHIP,

Respondent,

-and-

Docket No. CI-96-20

KENNETH JAMES WILLIAMS,

Charging Party.

OLD BRIDGE TOWNSHIP,

Respondent,

-and-

Docket No. CI-96-21

KENNETH JAMES WILLIAMS,

Charging Party.

Appearances:

For the Charging Party, Kenneth James Williams, pro se

DECISION AND ORDER

The charging party has moved for reconsideration of P.E.R.C. No. 97-19, 22 NJPER 354 (¶27183 1996). In that decision, we sustained a decision of the Director of Unfair Practices refusing to reopen these charges after the charging party withdrew them pursuant to a Memorandum of Agreement.

The charging party claims that his request for a personal appearance for himself and others who have worked on his case was not addressed by the Commission and that there are extraordinary circumstances warranting reconsideration. He also requests oral argument on reconsideration. We deny that request.

If the charging party intended his earlier request for a personal appearance to be a request for oral argument, N.J.A.C.

19:14-8.2 requires that such a request be in writing on a separate piece of paper. The charging party did not comply with that requirement. Had he done so, we would have denied the request as his written submissions adequately presented his legal argument.

Finding no extraordinary circumstances warranting reconsideration of P.E.R.C. No. 97-19, we deny the charging party's motion.

ORDER

The charging party's motion for reconsideration is denied.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

Millicent A. Wasell

Chair

Chair Wasell, Commissioners Boose, Buchanan, Finn, Klagholz, Ricci and Wenzler voted in favor of this decision. None opposed.

DATED: January 30, 1997

Trenton, New Jersey

ISSUED: January 31, 1997